AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 1

(Shakespeare's Henry VIII, Cardinal Wolsey's Speech)

The score reflects the quality of the essay as a whole—its content, its style, its mechanics. Students are rewarded for what they do well. The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of Shakespeare's use of literary elements to convey Wolsey's complex response to his dismissal from court. The essays offer a range of interpretations; they provide convincing readings of Wolsey's complex response, Shakespeare's use of literary devices, and the relationship between the two. They demonstrate consistent and effective control over the elements of composition in language appropriate to the analysis of poetic speech. Their textual references are apt and specific. Though they may not be error-free, these essays are perceptive in their analysis and demonstrate writing that is clear and sophisticated, and in the case of an essay earning a 9, especially persuasive.
- 7-6 These competent essays offer a reasonable analysis of Shakespeare's use of literary elements to convey Wolsey's complex response to his dismissal. They are less thorough or less precise in their discussion of Wolsey's response and Shakespeare's use of literary techniques, and their analysis of the relationship between the two is less convincing. These essays demonstrate the student's ability to express ideas clearly with references to the text, although they do not exhibit the same level of effective writing as essays in the 9–8 scoring range. While essays scored 7–6 are generally well written, those scored a 7 demonstrate more sophistication in both substance and style.
- These essays may respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of Shakespeare's use of literary elements to convey Wolsey's response, but they may be superficial in their analysis of the speech. They often rely on paraphrase, but paraphrase that contains some analysis, implicit or explicit. Their analysis of Wolsey's response or Shakespeare's techniques may be vague, formulaic, or minimally supported by references to the text. There may be minor misinterpretations of the speech. The essays demonstrate some control of language, but the writing may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as those in the 7–6 range.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the speech. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant, or may ignore the complexity of Wolsey's response or Shakespeare's use of techniques. Evidence from the speech may be slight or misconstrued, or the essays may rely on paraphrase only. The writing often demonstrates a lack of control over the conventions of composition: inadequate development of ideas, accumulation of errors, or a focus that is unclear, inconsistent, or repetitive. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreading and/or demonstrate incompetent writing.
- **2–1** These essays compound the weaknesses of those in the 4–3 range. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, assertions are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the speech. The essays may contain serious errors in grammar and mechanics. They may offer a complete misreading or be unacceptably brief. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the speech.
- **0** These essays do no more than make a reference to the task.
- These essays are either left blank or are completely off topic.

	Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.	7
conflexity of Wolsen?	restron, and of human emotions as a	
Whole:		
	#	

		-
		pondender
		marine.
		فنييسم
		and desirations
		Topic della
		-
		shapujik

theis from Speech Shakes peare's Wolsey Lardinal Fronc is moss al court human He despair. blames the and OWIL dangers realizing all himself the and out side. Beg inning mi th somber, sober a realization builds dranas and in Coel himself lompares Cardinal reacts to dus missal Solema yphilosophical a the nature hard work componed tender leaves "blossoms" line Killing Frost line mithait the Fruits human companina l'arnes t sachness. delicate the transfer beautiful implications of the uninesal and Flost blames one existence. excepting the Wolsey realizes his 5 peech progresses his down Fall blames part and in

He Limself calls pride. of little that li Ke that wanton bons lines c. IL Sea_ the Cardinal Cooks deeper هع simile has Swinn who out He 12 transtes responsibi cathartic realization 08 at Storm anger Wolsen curses the world the an apostrophe the lashes act world out Behind to leanethat hangs Marc poor line 13 metcheel 17 Q. and Full the ~e'se Leans more panas ove have wo men." adds wars 35 line. 70 mood nauina Wolsen Solilogun Kanen \$₹ a rome trom

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.		1B 30	
and throughout, ends with the	car dinal	Compari	9
his falk to that of Lucifer	(line	22).)
In: doing so, he both ackn			
own inner failings and A		hes out	•
at the overwhelming circumstance	s that	have	
at the overwhelming circumstance lead him to Fall, "never to	hope a	icain,"	
(line 23).			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	The state of the s		
		The state of the s	
		ma de hille hill hill hill hill have segment agraph a managad de hill hill hill hill	
			•
		-	
·		•	

This excerpt from Henry VIII, by William Shakespear aries and bitter teelings cardinal wokey downfall from his bitterness and a vengeful and continues nopes, tomorrow blossoms! beginning of Shows now "blossones" attitude towards reams.

says time passes and the "frost, a killing most" amives. This repetition emphasizes adu the unthinkable man, me bh the potential to take away say his proud attitude did not fall and his "nigh me"(line 13). MIS person from of eals how sacred yet temporary Ais. Wolsey also implies that too much confidence in oneself can lead to one downfau; failure is the inevitable. goes commun by saying MIM IN the pales of his employer who hate ye! "thre he). exclaims to "Vain pomp and MON. nersonia cotton OF pomp and I mnic be cause # 8 Wolsey was once and sex accured in the court of the stakment, the "pomp and glory" are metaphors allude to the myals, mostly the ouso shows the bitterness that all who are better off than EDEAKS OF his loss, but people would love to see the and in a vengery tone aspect ... when he falls, he falls like Lucifer

201

never to hope again! This auusion to the fallen angel
never to hope again! This alusion to the fallen angel buiser, who fell from heaven to hell due to his bad deed
against the will of God, implies many ideas. The first
being mat the rayauty are commupt and doing things
against me wisher of the people. Wolsey is also
implying that the power like that of Gods, is in the
hands of the commoners, they have the ability
and the will to bring the king down and make
him suffer. This prospect of wolsey's shows an
emathered person eager for revenge and foreshordows
much, especially the fau of Henry VIII.
The various uses of figurative language
and allysion as well as the shifting tone in this
di mono loggia show convey cardinal wiseys
fly and hysteria toward his 10,30 of his position
and the royal family.
#

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1

Overview

This question asked students to read carefully a passage from Shakespeare's play *Henry VIII* and to write a well-organized essay analyzing Shakespeare's use of literary techniques to convey Cardinal Wolsey's complex response to his dismissal from court. Although the passage is not technically a poem but rather a poetic passage from a play, it contains poetic language and techniques; these include allusion, figurative language, and tone, which the prompt invited students to consider as examples.

In its phrasing, the question asked students to analyze Wolsey's complex response to his sudden downfall from his position as advisor to the king. With the word "complex," the question encouraged students to see more than one dimension of thought and feeling in the speech, including potentially contradictory or conflicting responses. It asked students to connect content and technique: not only *what* Wolsey thinks and feels, but also *how* the playwright represents those complex responses through the use of literary elements.

Sample: 1A Score: 9

The student perceptively develops the premise that Shakespeare employs poetic devices to authentically portray the range of emotions Wolsey feels when he learns of his dismissal. The essay persuasively catalogs Wolsey's many spontaneous emotions, citing, for instance, Shakespeare's use of metaphor to convey Wolsey's anger ("He uses the metaphor of a delicate flower to represent Wolsey's spirit . . . putting out 'the tender leaves of hopes,' then blooming, only to be struck by a 'killing frost.' . . . By placing the sprouting, blooming, and death of the flower within a three-day span, Shakespeare reflect's [sic] Wolsey's anger at how suddenly he fell from favor") and Shakespeare's manipulation of tone to convey the complexity of Wolsey's reaction ("This tone reveals Wolsey's hostility, his first reaction. . . . [A]fterwards his speech shifts to a tone of hopelessness and despair. . . . [H]is initial anger gives way to sadness and self-pity. Through this change, Shakespeare reflects that emotional reactions are often multi-faceted."). Overall, the essay provides convincing support for the claim that Wolsey's speech realistically portrays "the range of feelings people often undergo when reeling from an unexpected disappointment." The thin development of the simile referencing Satan in the third paragraph and minor lapses in phrasing ("hostility and despair of Wolsey's reaction"; "shock of his dismissal as the king's advisor") are evidence that essays that received a score of 9 did not have to be flawless.

Sample: 1B Score: 6

The introduction—compelling in its claim that Wolsey's speech amounts to a "philosophical, eloquent despair"—holds much promise; yet supportive evidence—which becomes thinner and thinner as the essay progresses—renders the effort overall only marginally convincing. The second paragraph contains perceptive analysis ("The Cardinal reacts to his dismissal initially with a solemn philosophical realization about the nature of human life: hope and hard work, compared to 'tender leaves' and 'blossoms' in line 4, fall to "a killing frost' [line 6] without fail. This extended metaphor comparing the fruits of human labor to an actual harvest, begins the speech with a delicate, beautiful sadness"), but the next paragraph only vaguely develops Shakespeare's intent in using the simile referencing water ("the Cardinal looks upon himself as a child . . . who has swum out 'far beyond [his] depth' [line 12]. He transfers responsibility to his 'high-blown pride' (line 12), realizing that he has brought his downfall upon himself."). The final paragraph is a mere paraphrase of the latter half of the speech. Despite the strong start and relative fluency in the use of language, inconsistent development called for the essay to be awarded a score of 6.

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 (continued)

Sample: 1C Score: 4

Though the opening sentences indicate that the essay will explore how Shakespeare makes apparent Wolsey's bitterness and grief, support amounts to an explication, often erroneous, of Wolsey's speech with little mention of bitterness and grief: "By using 'tender leaves', Wolsey shows how fragile hopes are, but by using 'blossoms' he shows how people believe that these hopes will grow into reality a [sic] come true." "Wolsey also implies that too much confidence in oneself can lead to ones [sic] downfall." While support is not relevant to the student's claim, at least the interpretation of the first part of the speech is sound; however, the student struggles with the interpretation of the latter half of the speech: "Wolsey is also implying that the power, like that of God's, is in the hands of the commoners, they have the ability and the will to bring the king down and make him suffer." Though lengthy, the essay could not be scored in the upper half: unfocused, disorganized, lacking facility in the use of language, and containing a significant misreading, the essay earned a score of 4.